While our commodities-first approach focuses on supply/demand fundamentals, recent political events have accelerated a natural resources recovery. Full repercussions are still unknown, but coming through a fundamental trough is quicker when policy helps it along.

China’s recent reforms, OPEC’s promised production cuts and the election of Donald Trump have, in our opinion, cemented the final stages of the commodity correction. Policy has likely truncated downside risk and is now enabling investors to think more constructively about the future.

Since 2009, commodities have been contending with a Chinese demand-binge hangover. Free-flowing capital fed voracious demand, which created a buildup of excesses that we are only now burning off. Balancing forces have been at play, so the commodity recovery had been sporadic.

This unlikely triumvirate has catalyzed an inflection in commodity prices that will likely continue for years to come. Reversal of deflationary pressures and a resumption of capital deployment will lead to inflationary pressures, and global natural resource equities directly benefit from gradual rises in commodity prices.

Source: Bloomberg, 1/1/13 to 1/10/17.
CHINESE REFORM

In March 2016, the National Development and Reform Commission (NDRC) published its 13th 5-Year plan, which emphasized supply-side structural reform to improve competitive fairness, efficiently satisfy growth, improve overall quality and enhance adaptability (cut overcapacity and reduce leverage).

The policy initially targeted coal; illegal mines were forced to close, and the NDRC restricted the number of days that legal coal mines could operate from 330 days per year to 276 days. With Chinese capacity effectively cut from 5.0 billion tons to 3.2 million tons, a price response began globally given the country’s 50% share of global annual consumption. Prices have climbed 85% higher for thermal coal and 290% higher for metallurgical coal through December 2016. China has since relaxed the 276-mining-day restriction, but we estimate illegal mines producing 1 billion tons of coal remain shut, creating a structurally higher level price for coal and, consequently, finished products like steel.

TRUMP EFFECT

Information flows faster than a Twitter feed, but one thing is certain: all three branches of the federal government are likely to be more favorable for the industry. At this time, it is unclear what specific rules may change, but we expect leadership changes at the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) to support energy and power market development. Congressional changes could have a wide-ranging impact on trade, taxes and repatriation, not to mention fiscal stimulus. Changes in the judicial branch could also alter the prevailing legal climate.
OPEC AGREEMENT

On November 30, after weeks of tense speculation in the oil market, the Organization of the Petroleum Exporting Countries (OPEC) reached a firm decision to cut production by 1.2 million barrels per day (b/d). A sequential accord with some non-OPEC producers, principally Russia, to cut production by an additional 600,000 b/d added fuel to the upside surprise and took total cuts to 1.8 million b/d. This was the first time that OPEC and non-OPEC countries agreed to simultaneously cut production since 1998. Oil prices rallied by more than 15% over the course of the following three days.

Given the history of OPEC production cuts, we believe it is unrealistic to assume participants will adhere to the full 1.8 million b/d cut. If achieved cuts amount to only half of this level, the crude oil market may experience balance, and perhaps even a deficit, in the first half of 2017. Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) inventories, currently about 15% above historical averages, would experience drawdowns, which would support oil prices. More importantly, we believe that OPEC that action creates a psychological floor for oil prices at around $50 per barrel and that prices will continue to creep upward toward $60 per barrel over 2017.

2017 OUTLOOK

Clearly, these three policy inflections caused equities to respond. We believe the entire universe has ample upside as companies are leaner, less leveraged, consolidated and now stabilizing due to increasing revenues. Even so, the path forward is more complicated.

To identify areas of outperformance in 2017, we are scrutinizing cyclical and secular trends. We expect cyclical stories to play out sooner, but for now, they remain attractive and balance out our portfolio. However, we are beginning to favor longer-term secular stories in preparation for the next phase of the cycle. As streamlined supply grows ahead of demand, additional volumes will likely experience moderate price growth, but companies with value-added bottlenecks should exhibit superior secular profitability growth. The recent severity of cyclical pressure on secular growth stories has created multi-decade value opportunities in companies leveraged to commodity volumes, not necessarily prices. The potential to generate alpha abounds, and we believe exemplary fundamental analysis is necessary to unravel the implications from policy shifts.

For illustrative purposes only. Created by The Boston Company Asset Management, LLC.
### Across the Value Chain

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Producers</th>
<th>Servicers</th>
<th>Transporters</th>
<th>Processors</th>
<th>Manufacturers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Exploration &amp; Production (E&amp;P)</td>
<td>Energy Services</td>
<td>MLPs, Railways, Shipping</td>
<td>Refining, LNG, Terminals/Ports</td>
<td>Fuel, Plastics, Coatings, Fertilizers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Own the Oil</td>
<td>Extract the Oil</td>
<td>Move the Oil</td>
<td>Aggregate &amp; Upgrade the Oil</td>
<td>Buy Oil Derivatives, Sell Final Product</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil price sensitive – short investment cycle limits upside</td>
<td>Activity sensitive – benefit from volume growth</td>
<td>Volume sensitive – benefit from fixed investment growth</td>
<td>High barriers to entry creates bottleneck, benefits from oversupplied oil</td>
<td>End consumer exposed, benefit from stable oil to execute business model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mildly Attractive</td>
<td>Very Attractive</td>
<td>Mildly Attractive</td>
<td>Very Attractive</td>
<td>Attractive</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: The Boston Company Asset Management, LLC, as of March 2017. No investment strategy or risk management technique can guarantee returns or eliminate risk in any market environment.